Reply Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 – Expedited Procedure Serial No.: 10/796,955 Examiner: Jerry M. Blevins

## REMARKS

Claims 1-3, 5, 16, 19-21, and 34-37 remain in this application. Claim 4, 6-15, 17-18, 22-33, and 38 are canceled. Claims 1 and 34 have been amended by this action.

## In The Claims:

The Offlice action has rejected claim 34 under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Goossen (US 6,271,943); claims 1-3 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goossen in view of Buchter, claim 5 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goossen in view of Buchter and further in view of Bartur; claims 7-9 and 13 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bartur in view of Buchter and Goossen; claims 10-11 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bartur in view of Buchter and Goossen and further in view of Eden; claim 14 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bartur in view of Buchter and Goossen and further in view of Kuramata; claims 22-27 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bartur in view of AAPA and Goossen; claims 35-36 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goossen and further in view of Bartur and Buchter.

Further, the Examiner has acknowledged that Claims 16, and 19-21 are allowed and that Claims 6, and 37-38 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Firstly, Applicant has canceled Claims 7-15 and 22-27 and thus the rejection of those claims are moot. To further facilitate immediate allowance of claims in the present application, though Applicant has viable arguments against the above-noted standing rejections, Applicant has amended all other rejected independents claims (Claims 1 and 34) to include subject matter which the Examiner acknowledged as allowable. More specifically, subject matter of Claim 6 has been included in Claim 1; and subject matter of Claim 38 has been included in Claim 34. For at least this reason, Applicant believes all pending claims are submitted to be in condition for allowance.

Reply Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 - Expedited Procedure Serial No.: 10/796,955 Examiner: Jerry M. Blevins

## CONCLUSION

For the above reasons, Applicant believes the foregoing amendment places the Application in condition for allowance. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the rejection/objection of the claims be withdrawn and full allowance granted. Should the Examiner have any further comments or suggestions, please contact Bobby Slaton at (972) 519-2295.

Respectfully submitted,

ALCATEL

Bobby D. Slaton

Reg. No. 43,130

Dated: June 2, 2006

Alcatel USA Intellectual Property Department 3400 W. Plano Parkway, M/S LEGL2 Plano, TX 75075

Phone: (972) 519-2295 Fax: (972) 477-9328